



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,833	07/20/2006	Roman J. Giger	20724-011US1	3920
26167 7590 11/29/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 Minneapolis, MN 55440-1022				
			EXAMINER WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT 1647	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/20/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/551,833		GIGER, ROMAN J.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD		1647	

All Participants:

(1) Cherie M. Woodward

(2) Tina McKeon

Date of Interview: 14 November 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Objection to specification and drawings.

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:20am EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative McKeon regarding the drawings and specification amendments related to Figure 1B-1, which is recited in the specification as Figure 1C. There is no Figure 1C in the drawings, but there is Figure 1B-1, which follows Figure 1B. The examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment which will require replacement drawing sheets to amend the label of Figure 1B-1 to Figure 1C, so that the drawings and specification are in agreement and the case can be passed to issue. The examiner also proposed to delete the word "novel" from the title of the invention.